

DIFFERENCE BETWEEN GST AND REVISED GST – ANALYSIS AND IMPLICATIONS

Monika N Devrani¹, Neepa U Vyas² and Pragnesh N Dave^{3*}

¹School of Law, Gujarat University, Navrangpura, Ahmedabad-380009, Gujarat, India

²Government Law College, K K Shastri Campus, Khokhara, Maninagar, Ahmedabad, Gujarat-380008, India

³Department of Chemistry, Sardar Patel University, Vallabh Vidyanagar-388 120, Gujarat, India

*Corresponding author: pragnesh7@yahoo.com

Received: 2026-01-17

Accepted: 2026-04-17

Published online: 2026-05-11

Abstract

The Goods and Services Tax (GST), implemented in India in 2017, was a landmark reform aimed at creating a unified indirect tax system. However, its initial iteration revealed significant structural, procedural, and technological challenges. This article provides a critical analysis of the proposed Revised GST framework, designed to address these shortcomings. Through a comparative examination, we elucidate the key differences between the original and revised models, focusing on rationalized tax slabs, simplified compliance mechanisms, and the integration of advanced digital infrastructure like e-invoicing and artificial intelligence. The analysis evaluates the sector-specific implications for MSMEs, large corporations, and consumers, projecting potential improvements in revenue collection, compliance efficiency, and macroeconomic stability. The article concludes with a set of policy recommendations aimed at ensuring the effective implementation of the Revised GST, emphasizing digital literacy, institutional capacity building, and sustained stakeholder engagement.

Keywords: GST, Indirect Tax Reform, Tax Compliance, MSMEs, Digital Infrastructure, Fiscal Policy, India.

1. Introduction

The introduction of the Goods and Services Tax (GST) in July 2017 represented a transformative shift in India's fiscal landscape, subsuming a complex web of central and state levies into a unified indirect tax system. The principal objectives were to eliminate the cascading effect of taxes, create a common national market, and simplify compliance for businesses. Despite these ambitious goals, the original GST regime encountered substantial implementation hurdles. These included a multi-tiered tax slab structure that led to classification disputes, a cumbersome compliance architecture involving multiple returns, technological inadequacies in the GST portal, and significant compliance burdens, particularly on Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) (Kumar, 2020).

The proposed Revised GST framework emerges as a response to these empirical challenges. It seeks to build upon the foundational structure of the original GST while

introducing targeted amendments to enhance efficiency, equity, and ease of compliance. The revisions are predicated on three key pillars: structural rationalization of tax rates, procedural simplification of return filing and refund mechanisms, and a robust adoption of technology for monitoring and enforcement (PwC, 2023).

This analysis is further situated within broader public finance and tax reform frameworks, including compliance cost theory, tax simplification principles, and digital governance models. Globally, GST/VAT reforms increasingly emphasize rate rationalization, automation, and data-driven compliance systems to enhance efficiency and formalization.

This article conducts a comprehensive analysis of the transition from the original GST to the Revised GST. It systematically compares the two frameworks across structural, procedural, and technological dimensions. Subsequently, it investigates the sector-specific impacts on MSMEs, large industries, and the service sector, followed by an assessment of the broader fiscal and macroeconomic implications. The article culminates in a set of evidence-based policy recommendations to guide the effective implementation of the Revised GST, drawing on current literature and empirical case studies.

2. The Revised GST Framework: Conceptual Foundations and Proposed Reforms

The Revised GST is not a mere amendment but a conceptual evolution of the indirect tax system, aimed at rectifying the operational inefficiencies identified since 2017.

2.1 Rationale for Reform

Post-implementation analysis of the original GST highlighted several critical issues:

- **Structural Complexity:** Multiple tax slabs (5%, 12%, 18%, 28%) created persistent ambiguity and classification disputes.
- **Procedural Onerousness:** The requirement to file multiple returns (GSTR-1, GSTR-2, GSTR-3) imposed high compliance costs, especially on MSMEs.
- **Technological Gaps:** The GST Network (GSTN) portal initially lacked features for seamless e-invoicing, real-time analytics, and automated reconciliation, leading to delays in refunds and Input Tax Credit (ITC) claims (Government of India, 2021).
- **Revenue Leakage:** Limited automation and verification mechanisms resulted in vulnerabilities to tax evasion and under-reporting (IMF, 2022).

The Revised GST is designed to bridge these gaps through a series of targeted interventions.

2.2 Key Proposed Reforms

The reforms under the Revised GST can be categorized into three primary domains.

- **2.2.1 Structural Reforms:** These involve fundamental changes to the tax architecture. A central proposal is the rationalization of tax slabs, notably the merger of the 12% and 18% rates into a single slab, to reduce classification disputes. Furthermore, the exemption threshold for MSMEs is proposed to be increased significantly (e.g., from ₹20 lakh to ₹40 lakh) to reduce the compliance burden on the smallest entities and foster formalisation.

- **2.2.2 Procedural and Compliance Reforms:** This strand focuses on simplifying interfaces for taxpayers. Key measures include the introduction of a unified, simplified return filing system to replace the multiple forms, the automation of ITC reconciliation to minimise manual intervention and errors, and the rationalization of late fees into a tiered structure based on turnover to alleviate the financial burden on smaller businesses.

- **2.2.3 Digital and Technological Reforms:** Technology is the cornerstone of the Revised GST. Reforms include the mandatory implementation of e-invoicing for all but the smallest businesses to enable real-time invoice tracking and automated ITC verification. The framework also proposes the deployment of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and big data analytics for fraud detection, predictive compliance monitoring, and identifying anomalous transaction patterns. Pilot projects for blockchain technology are also envisaged to create immutable records for high-value interstate transactions, enhancing transparency and trust.

Table 1. Comparative Overview of Key Reforms

Feature	Original GST	Revised GST (Proposed)	Primary Objective
Tax Slabs	5%, 12%, 18%, 28%	5%, (12%/18% merged), 28%	Reduce classification disputes and simplify rates.
Exemption Threshold	₹20 Lakh	₹40 Lakh (proposed for MSMEs)	Reduce compliance burden on small businesses.
Return Filing	3-4 monthly returns	Single, unified return (quarterly for MSMEs)	Drastically reduce compliance time and effort.
ITC Reconciliation	Largely manual	Automated and real-time	Minimize errors, disputes, and fraud.
Technology Core	Basic digital portal	E-invoicing, AI analytics, Blockchain pilots	Enhance transparency, speed, and enforcement.

3. A Comparative Analysis: Original GST vs. Revised GST

This section provides a detailed, comparative examination of the differences between the two frameworks, analyzing the implications of these changes.

3.1 Structural and Procedural Differences

The most significant structural change is the proposed slab rationalization. While the original structure often placed similar goods in different slabs, leading to litigation, a consolidated slab reduces ambiguity and improves predictability for businesses (Sharma & Singh, 2021). Procedurally, the shift from multiple returns to a unified system is transformative. For an MSME, this could mean a reduction from over 35 annual filings to just 4, freeing up crucial managerial resources for core business activities.

Analysis: The structural and procedural simplifications are fundamentally aimed at reducing the cost of tax compliance, which acts as a regressive burden on smaller firms. By lowering these costs, the Revised GST can incentivize formalization and improve the ease of doing business.

3.2 Technological Enhancements

The technological leap proposed is substantial. While the original GST introduced a digital platform, the Revised GST embeds technology into the core of tax administration.

- E-invoicing: Moves the compliance burden from periodic return filing to real-time invoice reporting, making the system more proactive than reactive.
- AI and Analytics: Enable a risk-based audit system, allowing tax authorities to focus resources on high-risk taxpayers rather than harassing compliant ones (EY India, 2021).
- Blockchain: Offers the potential for a "trustless" system where the authenticity of interstate transactions is verifiable without third-party mediation, reducing delays and disputes.

Analysis: These technological enhancements shift the paradigm from a policing model to a facilitation and analytics-based model. This not only improves compliance rates but also makes evasion more difficult and risk-prone.

Table 2. Projected Sectoral Impact of Revised GST

Sector	Original GST Challenges	Revised GST Advantages
MSMEs & Startups	High compliance cost, complex ITC, delayed refunds affecting cash flow.	Quarterly filing, higher threshold, automated refunds, and digital assistance.
Large Corporations	Manual ITC reconciliation leading to disputes; delays in refunds.	Automated ITC, faster refunds, real-time reporting, and predictive analytics.
Service Sector	Complexity in place-of-supply rules and invoicing.	Simplified and standardized processes, rationalized slabs for clarity.
E-Commerce	Fragmented compliance for Tax Collected at Source (TCS) across states.	Unified compliance platform and automated GST collection.

4. Sector-Specific Implications and Case Insights

The impact of the Revised GST varies across sectors, necessitating a nuanced understanding.

4.1 MSMEs and Startups

This sector stands to gain the most from the proposed reforms. The increase in the exemption threshold will bring a large number of smallest businesses out of the tax net, reducing their regulatory burden. Quarterly filing, as opposed to monthly, directly cuts down the time and cost associated with compliance. A case study of an IT startup cited by Bhattacharya & Rao (2022) demonstrated a 60% reduction in monthly compliance hours post-adoption of similar simplified measures, allowing the founders to refocus on product development and customer acquisition.

4.2 Large Industries and Corporations

For large corporations, the benefits are in scale and efficiency. Automated ITC reconciliation is critical for firms with thousands of transactions monthly, reducing the need for large finance teams to manually match invoices. A manufacturing company with pan-India operations reported a 40% reduction in reconciliation errors after piloting an AI-based monitoring tool, underscoring the potential for operational efficiency (PwC, 2023). Faster refunds directly improve working capital management, a crucial factor for capital-intensive industries.

4.3 Consumers and the Service Sector

Consumers benefit indirectly through price stability. The merger of tax slabs reduces the likelihood of price fluctuations for goods and services that were previously on the borderline of two slabs. Simplified and standardized invoicing leads to greater transparency, allowing consumers to understand the tax component clearly. For the service sector, this clarity and stability in compliance reduce administrative overhead and potential disputes.

5. Fiscal, Economic, and Policy Implications

The successful implementation of the Revised GST is projected to have far-reaching consequences.

5.1 Fiscal and Macroeconomic Impact

These projections represent scenario-based estimates and should be interpreted as indicative trends rather than precise forecasts.

The primary fiscal benefit is the enhancement of revenue collection through improved compliance and a broader tax base. Digital trails from e-invoicing and AI-driven analytics are powerful tools against evasion. As illustrated in Table 3, projections indicate a steady increase in revenue and a significant reduction in compliance costs and tax evasion.

Projections are indicative estimates based on policy reports and comparative tax reform outcomes; actual results may vary depending on implementation effectiveness and adoption levels.

Table 3. Projected Fiscal and Compliance Impact

Metric	Original GST Baseline	Revised GST Projection	Expected Change
Annual GST Revenue (INR Cr)	1,300,000	1,400,000	+7.7%
MSME Compliance Cost (INR Lakhs/Year)	3.5	1.2	-65.7%
Average Refund Time (Days)	60	15	-75%
Estimated Evasion Rate (%)	12	5	-58.3%

Macroeconomically, the reform can contribute to formalizing a larger segment of the economy, improving India's ranking on global indices like the Ease of Doing Business (World Bank, 2022). Stable and predictable tax regimes are a key factor in attracting foreign direct investment (FDI).

Analytical Assumptions and Limitations: The fiscal and compliance projections presented are indicative estimates derived from secondary policy reports and scenario-based projections. Actual outcomes may vary depending on implementation efficiency, technological adoption, taxpayer compliance behavior, and administrative capacity.

5.2 Policy Recommendations for Effective Implementation

To realize these benefits, a concerted policy effort is required:

1. **Strengthen Digital Infrastructure:** The GST portal must be upgraded to be scalable, integrate seamlessly with accounting ERPs, and support advanced analytics without downtime.

2. **Invest in Capacity Building:** Mandatory digital literacy programs and financial subsidies for MSMEs are essential to bridge the digital divide and ensure inclusive adoption.

3. **Ensure Phased and Consultative Implementation:** A big-bang rollout could be disruptive. A phased implementation, starting with larger taxpayers, coupled with continuous stakeholder consultations, is critical for smooth transition.

4. **Adopt a Data-Driven Governance Approach:** The government must leverage the data generated from e-invoicing and AI analytics for proactive policy refinement and targeted enforcement, rather than just retrospective auditing.

6. Conclusion

The Revised GST framework represents a mature and responsive evolution of India's indirect tax system. By addressing the structural flaws, procedural complexities, and technological limitations of the original GST, it holds the promise of creating a more efficient, equitable, and transparent tax regime. The analysis confirms that the proposed reforms can significantly reduce the compliance burden on MSMEs, enhance operational efficiency for large corporations, stabilize prices for consumers, and boost government revenue through improved compliance and a wider tax base.

From a theoretical perspective, the Revised GST aligns with global trends in digital tax modernization, compliance cost reduction, and formalization-oriented fiscal governance.

However, the success of this transformative reform hinges critically on its implementation. A technology-driven system is only as good as the digital infrastructure and literacy that support it. Therefore, parallel investments in digital public infrastructure, widespread taxpayer education, and a collaborative approach between policymakers, industry, and tax administrators are indispensable. If implemented effectively, the Revised GST can be a catalyst for sustained economic growth, formalization, and fiscal stability in India.

References

- Bhattacharya, A., & Rao, R. (2022). GST reforms in India: A critical analysis of compliance and efficiency. *Journal of Public Finance*, 45(3), 233–249.
- Deloitte India. (2022). *Simplifying GST compliance in India*. Deloitte Insights.
- EY India. (2021). *Impact of GST reforms on Indian corporates*. Ernst & Young Knowledge Report.
- Government of India. (2021). *GST Council Meeting Reports (2017–2021)*. Ministry of Finance.
- IMF. (2022). *Tax policy reforms for emerging economies*. International Monetary Fund Policy Paper.
- Kumar, S. (2020). Impact of GST on MSMEs in India: Challenges and prospects. *International Journal of Business Studies*, 18(2), 77–95.
- PwC. (2023). *Digital transformation in indirect taxation*. PricewaterhouseCoopers Report.
- Sharma, V., & Singh, T. (2021). Structural reforms under GST: A comparative analysis. *Indian Economic Review*, 56(4), 311–330.
- Singh, R., & Mehta, P. (2021). MSME compliance under GST: Challenges and solutions. *Indian Journal of Economics and Business*, 20(4), 112–130.
- World Bank. (2022). *Ease of Doing Business in India: Annual Report*. World Bank Publications.